Study of Ammonolysis Reactions with *in Situ* X-Ray Diffraction: Detection and Crystal Structure of Li_{0.84}W_{1.16}N₂

S. Kaskel,* D. Hohlwein,^{†,1} and J. Strähle^{*,2}

*Institut für Anorganische Chemie, Universität Tübingen, Auf der Morgenstelle 18, D-72076 Tübingen, Germany, and †Institut für Kristallographie, Universität Tübingen, Charlottenstrasse 33, D-72070 Tübingen, Germany

Received September 4, 1997; in revised form January 20, 1998; accepted January 27, 1998

DEDICATED TO PROFESSOR H. BÄRNIGHAUSEN ON THE OCCASION OF HIS 65TH BIRTHDAY.

The new ternary nitride $\text{Li}_{0.84}W_{1.16}N_2$ was found by an *in situ* X-ray diffraction study of the ammonolysis of a LiF–WO₃ mixture. The ammonolysis proceeds via $\text{Li}_6W_2O_9$ to LiWN₂, which subsequently decomposes at 900°C to form $\text{Li}_{0.84}W_{1.16}N_2$. For a larger scale synthesis of $\text{Li}_{0.84}W_{1.16}N_2$, the ammonolysis of a mixture of Li_2CO_3 and WO₃ was performed at 900°C. Li_{0.84}W_{1.16}N₂ crystallizes in the anti-TiP type structure with the space group $P6_3/mmc$ and a = 2.8809(1) Å, c = 10.3456(4) Å, and Z = 2 as determined from simultaneous Rietveld refinement of X-ray and neutron powder diffraction data. The refinement converged with $R(F^2) = 7.0\%$ for the X-ray data and 8.3% for the neutron data with a total reduced $\chi^2 = 3.54$. The structure consists of alternating layers of WN₆ trigonal prisms and MN_6 octahedra (M = W, Li), with distances W-N = 2.11 Å. \bigcirc 1998 Academic Press

INTRODUCTION

In recent years, new ternary nitrides $MM'N_2$ (M = Li, Fe, Mn, Cr; M' = Mo, W) have been synthesized by the ammonolysis of the ternary oxides or metalloorganic precursors (1–6). Their structures are built up from alternating layers of MN_6 octahedra and $M'N_6$ trigonal prisms. Three stacking variants are observed in which the nitrogen atoms form close-packed layers (Fig. 1). The anti-TiP type structure, like FeWN₂ (3) and Fe_{0.8} $M_{1.2}N_2$ (M = Mo, W) (5, 6), shows an AABB stacking. The structures of the iron compounds differ, however, from the anti-TiP type in an alternative occupation of the trigonal-prismatic holes, resulting in face sharing of WN₆ prisms and FeN₆ octahedra along the *c*-axis in FeWN₂ and Fe_{0.8} $M_{1.2}N_2$ (M = Mo, W), whereas in the anti-TiP type structure, exclusively edge-sharing

0022-4596/98 \$25.00 Copyright © 1998 by Academic Press All rights of reproduction in any form reserved. polyhedra are found. A third variant was observed for $LiMoN_2$ (1). It shows an AABBCC stacking with edge- and face-sharing metal-centered polyhedra.

Our investigation of the reaction of a mixture of LiF and WO₃ (1:1) with NH₃ gas shows that at 600°C Li₆W₂O₉ is obtained, which at 700°C is transformed to LiWN₂ (2). At 900°C the latter decomposes to afford Li_{0.84}W_{1.16}N₂. The hitherto unknown Li_{0.84}W_{1.16}N₂ was then synthesized in larger scale by ammonolysis of a Li₂CO₃–WO₃ mixture (0.4:1). It crystallizes in the anti-TiP type structure, whereas LiWN₂ is assumed to be isostructural with LiMoN₂ (1, 2).

EXPERIMENTAL

Chemical Synthesis

A 0.4:1 mixture of Li₂CO₃ (99% p.a., Merck) and WO₃ (99.9%, Fluka) was ground in a mortar and placed in an alumina boat. To protect the silica tube used for the ammonolysis reaction from small amounts of subliming lithium compounds, an additional inner corundum tube was inserted. The mixture was heated under flowing ammonia gas (> 99%, BASF) to 900°C at a rate of 50°C/h and the temperature was maintained for 15 h. The ammonia was purified by passing it through a column with active carbon and then through a column with KOH pellets. After the sample was cooled to room temperature (2 h) and ground, the reaction was completed by heating the sample for another 15 h at 900°C in the same apparatus. The product is a gray-black air-stable powder, which is only attacked by hot aqua regia to give a WO_3 suspension after about 0.5 h. The purity of the phase was controlled by X-ray diffraction.

Elemental Analysis

Nitrogen was determined by combustion analysis with a Carlo Erba 1104 analyzer. The low value of 9.6% (calcd.

¹ Permanent address: Hahn-Meitner-Institut, Glienicker Str. 100, D-14109 Berlin, Germany.

² To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: joachim. straehle@uni-tuebingen.de.



FIG. 1. Structures of $LiMoN_2$, $Li_{0.84}W_{1.16}N_2$ (anti-TiP), and FeWN₂.

11.3%) is probably due to incomplete combustion of the nitride.

To determine the lithium and tungsten contents, the sample was heated for 1 h in aqua regia. WO₃ was then dissolved by adding solid NaOH pellets. The lithium content was analyzed from this solution by flame emission spectroscopy with a Varian SpectrAA20 analyzer ($\lambda = 670.8$ nm) using Li₂CO₃ as standard. The tungsten content was determined by gravimetric analysis (7) using WO₃ as standard.

Thermogravimetric Analysis

The oxide contamination of the material was estimated by TGA measurements on a NETSCH STA 409 analyzer. The sample was heated to 980°C at a rate of 5°C/min under an atmosphere of oxygen. The combustion of the nitride was complete at 700°C since the weight of the sample did not further change. The total mass gain was 13.9% as expected for the proposed composition, if Li₂O and WO₃ are assumed to be the products of the thermolysis.

Magnetic Susceptibility and Electrical Conductivity

The magnetic susceptibility was measured at a constant magnetic field of 20,000 G with a Quantum Design SQUID magnetometer in a gelatin capsule. The diamagnetic contribution of the capsule was subtracted but no further correction of the data was made. The electrical conductivity of a pellet made from the pulverized compound was measured at room temperature using a four-point method.

Structure Determination

X-ray powder diffraction data were collected in transmission geometry on a Siemens D5000 diffractometer $(2\theta = 10-110^{\circ})$ with CuK α radiation using a germanium incident beam monochromator. The pattern was indexed using DICVOL (8), yielding an initial hexagonal setting with lattice constants a = 2.8820(4) and c = 10.333(2) Å. Systematic absences indicated the space group P31c, P $\overline{3}1c$, P $\overline{6}_{3}mc$, P $\overline{6}2c$, or P $6_{3}/mmc$. Integrated intensities were extracted from the pattern using FULLPROF (9), and the structure model was obtained with direct methods (SHELXS86 (10)) assuming the space group P $6_{3}/mmc$. The light atom lithium could not be located from the X-ray data but the statistical occupation of site 2a by tungsten indicated that lithium ions are also occupying this site.

Neutron diffraction data were collected at the Hahn-Meitner-Institut, Berlin, on the flat-cone and powder diffractometer E2 with $\lambda = 1.22$ Å, in-pile collimation 15', in the 2θ range between 5° and 85°. Scattering lengths were used as reported by Sears (11). By simultaneous refinement of X-ray and neutron data using GSAS (12), the lithium position was determined as a minimum in the difference Fourier analysis ($b_{\text{Li}} = -1.90$ fm) of the neutron data. The refinement converged with an $R(F^2)$ of 7.0% for the X-ray



FIG. 2. Rietveld refinement of the X-ray data.

data (Fig. 2) and 8.3% for the neutron data (Fig. 3) with a total reduced χ^2 of 3.54 (Table 1). The thermal parameters of the lithium and tungsten atoms occupying site 2*a* were constrained to be identical (Table 2).

In Situ Powder Diffraction

In situ experiments were performed with a Siemens D5000 powder diffractometer (θ/θ geometry) equipped with a Bühler HDKS1 high-temperature chamber and a position-sensitive detector (BRAUN). A mixture of LiF and WO₃ (1:1) was placed on a platinum sample holder and heated stepwise at a rate of 2°C/s under flowing ammonia in intervals of 25°C up to 1200°C. After each interval the temperature was kept constant for 5 min to register the diffraction pattern ($2\theta = 10-40^\circ$).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The hitherto unknown ternary nitride $Li_{0.84}W_{1.16}N_2$ was synthesized by heating a mixture of Li_2CO_3 and WO_3 (0.4:1) under flowing ammonia to 900°C for 30 h. When

 TABLE 1

 Crystal Data and Results of the Rietveld Refinement for Li_{0.84}W_{1.16}N₂

Formula weight	247.11			
Space group	$P6_3/mmc$			
Lattice constants (Å)	a = 2.8809(1)			
	c = 10.3456(4)			
Volume (Å ³)	74.36(1)			
$D_{\rm calc}({\rm g/cm^3})$	11.069			
Formula units	Z = 2			
Color	Gray-black			
Number of parameters	30			
χ^2	3.54			
X-ray	/ data			
$2\theta_{\rm max}({\rm deg})$	110.0			
X-ray radiation	$CuK\alpha_1 \ (\lambda = 1.5405 \text{ Å})$			
Monochromator	Germanium			
$R_{\rm wp}/R_{\rm p}/R_{\rm exp}$	0.178/0.131/0.106			
$R(F^2)$	0.070			
Neutron data				
$2\theta_{\rm max}(\rm deg)$	85.0			
Neutron radiation (λ)	$\lambda = 1.220 \text{ Å}$			
$R_{\rm wp}/R_{\rm p}/R_{\rm exp}$	0.058/0.046/0.021			
$R(F^2)$	0.083			



FIG. 3. Rietveld refinement of the neutron data.

higher or lower Li_2CO_3 : WO₃ ratios were used, impurities were detected by X-ray diffraction. In situ powder diffraction shows that the compound is also formed when LiF is used instead of Li_2CO_3 and that it is a product of the decomposition of LiWN₂ under ammonia. A separate synthesis of the oxide precursors Li_2MO_4 used in the synthesis of $LiMN_2$ (M = Mo, W) (1, 2) is therefore not necessary.

The reaction of the LiF–WO₃ mixture (1:1) with gaseous ammonia proceeds via several steps (Fig. 4). Below 600° C three modifications of WO₃ (13–15) are identified in

 TABLE 2

 Atomic Parameters of Li_{0.84}W_{1.16}N₂

Atom	Site	x	у	Ζ	Occupancy	$U_{\rm iso} \times 100 \ ({\rm \AA}^2)$
W(1) W(2) Li N	2c 2a 2a 4f	$\begin{array}{c} \frac{1}{3} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \frac{1}{3} \end{array}$	$\frac{2}{3}$ 0 $\frac{2}{3}$	$ \begin{array}{c} \frac{1}{4} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ $	1 0.162(3) 0.89(5) 1	1.29(4) 1.2(2) 1.2(2) 0.61(5)

addition to LiF. Since WO₃ does not show these structural changes when heated in vacuo, they are possibly due to partial reduction or doping by nitrogen. At 600° C Li₆W₂O₉ (16) is formed, which at 700°C transforms to LiWN₂ to finally yield Li_{0.84}W_{1.16}N₂ at about 900°C. The formation of Li₆W₂O₉ at 600°C presumably results from a reaction with H₂O, which is formed by the beginning ammonolysis reaction (Eq. [1]).

$$6\text{LiF} + 2WO_3 + 3H_2O \rightarrow \text{Li}_6W_2O_9 + 6HF\uparrow$$
 [1]

The mechanism of the ammonolysis reaction is similar to that observed by DiSalvo *et al.* (1) for the synthesis of LiMoN₂. They also reported a lithium-rich oxide intermediate, Li_4MoO_5 , but no ternary nitride except LiMoN₂ was found.

Our preliminary investigations, however, indicate that an anti-TiP type lithium molybdenum nitride with composition similar to that observed for $Li_{0.84}W_{1.16}N_2$ can also be prepared by the reaction of Li_2CO_3 -MoO₃ mixtures with gaseous ammonia.



FIG. 4. In situ X-ray diffraction diagrams of the ammonolysis of a LiF-WO₃ mixture between 100 and 1175°C in steps of 25°C.

Li_{0.84}W_{1.16}N₂ crystallizes in the anti-TiP type structure with the space group $P6_3/mmc$ and a = 2.8809(1) and c = 10.3456(4) Å (Table 1). This structure type was also found for MnMoN₂ (3). The structure (Fig. 1) is built up from alternating layers of WN₆ trigonal prisms and MN_6 octahedra (M = Li, W). The octahedral holes are statistically occupied by tungsten (16%) and lithium (84%), which was shown by simultaneous Rietveld refinement of X-ray and neutron powder diffraction data. This result is in good agreement with the elemental analysis (Table 4).

The structure can be described as a stacking AbA γ BaB γ of close-packed nitrogen layers with cations in octahedral (γ) and trigonal-prismatic (a, b) holes. Since Li_{0.84}W_{1.16}N₂ is an interstitial nitride, the inverse formulation is also useful

 TABLE 3

 Selected Bond Distances and Angles in Li_{0.84}W_{1.16}N₂^a

Bond distances (Å)		Bond angles (deg)		
W(1)–W(1) ^I	2.8809(1)	$N^{II}-W(1)-N^{III}$	86.4(1)	
$W(2) /Li - W(2)^{I}/Li^{I}$	2.8809(1)	$N^{II}-W(1)-N^{IV}$	75.6(1)	
W(1)-W(2)/Li	3.0751(1)	$N^{II}-W(1)-N^{V}$	133.5(1)	
$W(1)-N^{II}$	2.105(1)	$N^{II}-W(2)$ /Li- N^{III}	86.2(1)	
W(2)/Li-N ^{II}	2.109(1)	$N^{II}-W(2)/Li-N^{VI}$	93.8(1)	
$N\!\!-\!\!N^{VII}$	2.579(3)	N^{III} -W(2) /Li-N ^{VI}	180.0(0)	

^{*a*}Symmetry codes: I, x + 1, y, z; II, $1 - x, 1 - y, z - \frac{1}{2}$; III, $-x, 1 - y, z - \frac{1}{2}$; IV, 1 - x, 1 - y, 1 - z; V, 1 - x, 2 - y, 1 - z; VI, $x, y - 1, \frac{1}{2} - z$; VII, $x, y, \frac{3}{2} - z$.

to describe the structure as a close packing of metal atoms $A\beta C\alpha B\alpha C\beta$ with nitrogen atoms in octahedral holes (Fig. 5). In the [001] direction NM_6 octahedra are alternatingly sharing faces and edges. The structure can therefore be explained as built up from NiAs layers shifted by a shear operation parallel to the *a*-*b* plane every second layer of octahedra (Fig. 5). The Li–N and W–N distances (Table 3) of 2.105 and 2.109 Å are similar to those reported for LiMoN₂ (Li–N = 2.179 and 2.098 Å; Mo–N = 2.091 and 2.095 Å), which forms a related structure with a stacking variant AbAγBcBαCaC β (Fig. 1). The LiN₆ octahedra in Li_{0.84}W_{1.16}N₂ are slightly distorted. As in the whole family of layered nitrides, metal–metal contacts are short (2.881 Å), indicating strong interactions between the tungsten atoms.

The magnetic susceptibility per mass at 300 K is $\chi_g = -1.4(3) \times 10^{-7}$ emu/g without any correction for the core diamagnetism and $\chi_g = +7(3) \times 10^{-8}$ emu/g if the core diamagnetism is subtracted using standard increments (17).

TABLE 4 Elemental Analysis

Element	Calculated for $\mathrm{Li}_{0.84}\mathrm{W}_{1.16}\mathrm{N}_{2}$	Observed
Li	2.36	2.35(3)
W	86.3	85.2(8)
N	11.3	9.6(4)



FIG. 5. Stacking of nitrogen-centered NM₆ octhadera (M = Li, W) in Li_{0.84}W_{1.16}N₂.

Measurements between 100 and 300 K show that this weak paramagnetism is temperature independent in the limits of error as expected for an electron-conducting material ($\sigma = 0.7 \ \Omega^{-1} \text{cm}^{-1}$ at 298 K).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to Dr. J. Janek and M. Vennekamp for the measurement of the electrical conductivity and F. Auer for the performance of the flame emission analysis. This work was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft and the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie.

REFERENCES

- 1. S. H. Elder, L. H. Doerrer, and F. J. DiSalvo, Chem. Mater. 4, 928 (1992).
- P. Subramanya Herle, M. S. Hegde, N. Y. Vasanthacharya, J. Gopalakrishnan, and G. N. Subbanna, J. Solid State Chem. 112, 208 (1994).
- D. S. Bem, C. M. Lampe-Önnerud, H. P. Olsen, and H.-C. zur Loye, Inorg. Chem. 35, 581 (1996).
- 4. K. S. Weil and P. N. Kumata, J. Solid State Chem. 128, 185 (1997).
- J. D. Houmes, S. Deo, and H.-C. zur Loye, J. Solid State Chem. 131, 374 (1997).
- D. S. Bem, H. P. Olsen, and H.-C. zur Loye, *Chem. Mater.* 7, 1824 (1995).
- A. I. Vogel, "Vogel's Textbook of Quantitative Chemical Analysis," 5th ed. Longman Scientific & Technical, Harlow, 1989.
- 8. A. Boultif and D. Louër, J. Appl. Crystallogr. 24, 987 (1991).
- 9. J. Rodriguez-Carvajal, FULLPROF, Version 3.1, FORTRAN Program for Rietveld Refinements, Laboratoire Leon Brillouin, 1996.
- 10. G. M. Sheldrick, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A 46, 467 (1990).
- V. F. Sears, *in* "International Tables for X-Ray Crystallography" (A. J. C. Wilson, Ed.), Vol. C. Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1995.
- A. C. Larson and R. B. Von Dreele, General Structure Analysis System, Los Alamos, 1994.
- R. Diehl, G. Brandt, and E. Salje, *Acta Crystallogr.*, Sect. B 34, 1105 (1978).
- 14. W. L. Kehl, R. G. Hay, and D. Wahl, J. Appl. Phys. 23, 212 (1952).
- O. Yamaguchi, D. Tomihisa, H. Kawabata, and K. Shimizu, J. Am. Ceram. Soc. 70, C94 (1987).
- 16. M. Parmentier, C. Gleitzer, and J. Aubry, *Compt. Rend. C* 274, 1681 (1972).
- A. Weiss and H. Witte, "Magnetochemie." Verlag Chemie, Weinheim, 1973.